



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,141	09/13/2000	Mark McLaughlin	3699-2-PUS	5131
75	90 05/25/2004		EXAM	INER
MCLAUGHLIN			HUBER, PAUL W	
12422 SKYLINE DRIVE JENKS, OK 74037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, car			2653	14
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/646,141	MCLAUGHLIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Huber	2653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-109</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-109</u> are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ecepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No beived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/646,141

Art Unit: 2653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-28, drawn to a method of limiting access to data stored on an optical medium, an optical disk adapted for use in an optical readout system, and an article of manufacture adapted to be encoded with data and further adapted so that duplication of the data by an optical scanning machine may be inhibited.

Group II, claim(s) 29-109, drawn to a method of limiting the use of information stored on an optical medium, an apparatus for limiting the use of information stored with an optical medium, and a storage medium having limited access.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I requires that the optical disk includes a reactive compound contacted by a light for reading data structures, the reactive compound operative to change its physical characteristics in response to selected environmental stimulus thereby to affect readability of the data by an interrogating beam of light, which is not required by Group II.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: figures 2-5B;

Species II: figures 6A-7B;

Species III: figures 11A-12;

Species IV: figures 13A-14B;

Species V: 15A & 15B;

Species VI: 16A & 16B;

Species VII: 17A-18;

Species VIII: 19A-20;

Species IX: 21A & 21B.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,141

Art Unit: 2653

Page 3

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

A telephone call was not made to the applicants' representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the nature of the application, i.e., the relative complex nature of the restriction requirement, and the need for the examiner to promptly act on the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Huber whose telephone number is 703-308-1549.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2653

pwh May 21, 2004